

Evaluation Criteria & Project Evaluation

DAPHNE III
Workshops

DG JUSTICE, FREEDOM AND SECURITY



Pre-evaluation Process

Eligibility check

- Was application sent before deadline?
- Is applicant eligible (legal status, origin)?
- Is file complete?
- Is grant request within limits?

Very high demand so eligibility criteria applied strictly !

Around 20-25% of proposals eliminated at this stage.

Selection criteria

- Does proposal satisfy Daphne objectives?
- Does applicant have operational & financial capacity?
- Is budget sufficiently detailed to be assessed?

Award Process

- Eligible proposals are evaluated by an **evaluation committee**
 - Commission officials and external technical experts
- Selection on the basis of **merit** (ranking list)
- Selection endorsed by EU/EEA Member State **Programme Committee**
- **Award decision** taken by Commission hierarchy
 - Estimated duration of evaluation and award process: 4-6 months

A. Quality of the proposed action regarding its conception, organisation and expected results (20 points)

- How well is the project conceived?
- Extent to which the proposal tackles a subject that is relevant to the priorities defined in the call for proposals
- How well organised is the project implementation organised (methodology, workplan, timetable, partnership, monitoring and evaluation, risk analysis)?
- Are the actions proposed suitably designed to the nature of the problem, stated objectives and desired outcome?

B. Impact of the expected results on the general and specific objectives of the Programme (20 points)

- Impact of the project's outputs/outcome on the project beneficiaries and target groups
- Contribution of expected results to the objectives and thematic priorities of the Daphne III Programme

C. Innovation, including complementarity with other Community activities (10 points)

- Is the project innovative (in terms of objectives, subject area, partnership, geographical scope, methodology or outputs)
- To what extent does the project show awareness and/or take into account relevant EU policy areas, in addition to those addressed by Daphne?

D. European Dimension: the geographical scope of the project in terms of partners, participants and target group and/or its added value at European level (20 points)

- Extent to which activities present a European dimension (e.g. in terms of geographical coverage, participant and target group)
- Extent to which the organisations involved in the project make up a broad European partnership
- Is this a proposal that deserves support at European level (rather than at local or national level)

E. Sustainability of the project: measures planned for the dissemination of the results and to ensure adequate follow-up of project outputs (20 points)

- Are there effective plans in place for appropriate and timely dissemination or sharing of results?
- Are the outputs and outcomes of the project likely to be sustainable?

F. Cost-effectiveness: Size and scope of the planned activities, in particular in terms of cost-effectiveness, considering the expected results (10 points)

- Are the budgeted costs relevant and adequate?
- Does the project present good value for money?

Post-evaluation process

- Once the evaluation procedure is completed the Commission will inform each applicant of the final decision
- Commission will set in motion the necessary procedures for the preparation of the grant agreement

THANK YOU!

